1 THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 DAVID GOLDSTINE, 9 Plaintiff, Case No. 2:18-cv-01164 MJP 10 v. NOTICE OF VIDEOTAPED RULE FEDEX FREIGHT, INC., a Washington State 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF 11 entity; "DOE(S) 1-100", employees of **DEFENDANT FEDEX FREIGHT, FEDEX** FREIGHT, 12 INC.; INC. and CORPORATION(S) XYZ 1-100, 13 Defendants. 14 15 TO: FEDEX FREIGHT, INC., Defendant 16 AND TO: COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT FEDEX FREIGHT, INC. 17 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), 18 the videotaped deposition of Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc. will be taken upon Oral 19 Examination on the subject matter and topics set forth in Exhibit A at the request of Plaintiff David Goldstine, in the above-entitled and numbered action before a court reporter: 20 21 DATE: Thursday, March 21, 2019 9:30 a.m. 22 TIME: 23 **LOCATION:** AKW LAW, P.C.

NOTICE OF VIDEOTAPED RULE 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF DEFENDANT FEDEX FREIGHT, INC. - 1 Case No. 2:18-cv-01164 MJP

AKW LAW, P.C.6100 219th St. SW, Suite 480
Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043
Tel. (206) 259-1259 / Fax (855) 925-9529

6100 219th St SW, Suite 480 1 Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043 2 The deposition will be taken by court reporter, notary public, or other person duly 3 authorized to administer oaths. The deposition shall be recorded on videotape. The deposition 4 shall be subject to adjournment or continuance from time to time and is being taken for 5 discovery, perpetuation, use at trial, and for all purposes permitted under the Rules of the Court. 6 7 **DATED** January 23, 2019. 8 AKW LAW, P.C. 9 10 /s/ Ada K. Wong Ada K. Wong, WSBA #45936 Attorney for Plaintiff 11 6100 219th St. SW, Suite 480 Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043 12 Tel.: (206) 259-1259 Fax: (855) 925-9529 13 Email: ada@akw-law.com 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

1 **EXHIBIT A** 2 Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc.'s (hereinafter "DEFENDANT") practices, guidelines, and protocols, formal or informal, written or otherwise, in effect at DEFENDANT's Everett facility, located at 6414 Hardeson Road, Everett, Washington 98203¹ 3 (where Plaintiff David Goldstine (hereinafter "PLAINTIFF") worked), from February 1, 2015 to present regarding and/or related to the procedure for employees to seek reasonable 4 accommodation for a disability. 5 All aspects of DEFENDANT's disability accommodation policies, procedures, guidelines, or practices in effect from February 1, 2015 to present applicable to employees holding positions similar to the position(s) held by PLAINTIFF while he was employed by DEFENDANT, including: 7 a. Whether DEFENDANT had a policy or procedure for accommodating employees with disabilities; 8 b. When said policy or procedure was created and the version in effect from February 1, 2015 to present; 9 c. Who is/was responsible for ensuring the policy was implemented from 10 February 1, 2015 to present: d. How do/did employees learn about DEFENDANT's accommodation policy; 11 e. How do/did supervisors/managers learn about DEFENDANT's accommodation policy; and 12 f. Whether DEFENDANT provided training on its accommodation policy or 13 procedures, and when. 14 All aspects of how DEFENDANT engages in the interactive process to determine reasonable accommodations at the Everett facility from February 1, 2015 to present, including: 15 a. All policies, procedures, and guidelines regarding engaging in the interactive process; 16 b. How the interactive process is initiated/takes place/occurs/is conducted; c. Who is in charge of engaging in the interactive process; 17 d. What information from the interactive process is maintained and/or 18 recorded: e. Where the information from the interactive process is kept/maintained; f. How DEFENDANT determines whether a reasonable accommodation can 19 be made, and who makes these determinations; and g. What records are kept during the interactive process and after a reasonable 20 accommodation has been provided to DEFENDANT's employees. 21 22

¹ Please note that the scope of the deposition is regarding the Everett facility where Plaintiff worked, unless otherwise stated.

NOTICE OF VIDEOTAPED RULE 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF

23

1 All aspects of how DEFENDANT engaged in the interactive process for determining the reasonable accommodation(s) for PLAINTIFF, including: 2 a. Who engaged in said process; b. All dates: c. All reasonable accommodations DEFENDANT considered, when, and by 3 whom: d. All reasonable accommodations DEFENDANT offered to PLAINTIFF, 4 when, by whom, and how; 5 e. How any records regarding the interactive process and/or reasonable accommodation offered and/or provided to PLAINTIFF kept/maintained; and 6 f. Where any records regarding the interactive process and/or reasonable accommodation offered and/or provided to PLAINTIFF 7 kept/maintained. 8 DEFENDANT's practices, guidelines, and protocols, formal or informal, written or otherwise, in effect at DEFENDANT's Everett facility from February 1, 2015 to 9 present, regarding and/or related to the procedure for employees to report discrimination on 10 the basis of a protected class, including disability discrimination and discrimination based on perceived disability. 11 All aspects of DEFENDANT's anti-discrimination policy, including who created the policy, when the policy was created, and the policy in effect from February 1, 2015 12 to present. 13 All aspects of DEFENDANT's anti-retaliation policy, including who created the policy, when the policy was created, and the policy in effect from February 1, 2015 to 14 present. 15 All aspects of DEFENDANT's policies, procedures, guidelines, or practices 16 regarding vehicle maintenance, repairs, and vehicle safety procedures in effect from February 1, 2015 to present. 17 9. Any training provided to **PLAINTIFF** and/or supervisors/managers regarding DEFENDANT's anti-discrimination and/or anti-retaliation 18 policy, if any, including when the training was provided, the dates of said trainings, who was 19 provided with the training and when, and the substance of said training. PLAINTIFF's job duties, responsibilities, essential and non-essential functions 20 of his job as a Road Driver, as well as the job duties, responsibilities, essential and nonessential functions of the job for those in a similar role or capacity as PLAINTIFF from 21 February 1, 2015 to present. 22. 23

PLAINTIFF's

was/is

22.

23

- 11. The chain of command/organizational structure, including the direct and indirect reporting structure of the supervisors, managers, leads, employees, and other staff as related to PLAINTIFF/PLAINTIFF's position(s) from February 1, 2015 to present.
- 12. The duties and responsibilities of PLAINTIFF's immediate and second-level supervisors/managers from February 1, 2015 to present.
- 13. Any and all disabilities DEFENDANT knew PLAINTIFF had or that DEFENDANT perceived that PLAINTIFF had during PLAINTIFF's period of employment with DEFENDANT, including all details of said knowledge of or perception of disability.
- 14. Any and all disability accommodations PLAINTIFF requested or that DEFENDANT perceived that PLAINTIFF required during PLAINTIFF's period of employment with DEFENDANT, including all details of said accommodation request(s).
- 15. Any and all accommodations DEFENDANT provided to PLAINTIFF for disabilities during PLAINTIFF's period of employment with DEFENDANT, including all details of said accommodation(s).
- 16. Any interactive process that DEFENDANT engaged in for any disabilities of PLAINTIFF that DEFENDANT knew of, or perceived to exist, including all details of said process, dates, and names and contact information of those involved in said process.
- 17. How many employees DEFENDANT ordered to undergo multiple physical examinations within one calendar year at the Everett facility in the last five (5) years, including all names and contact information for said employees and/or past employees, duties and responsibilities.
- 18. All aspects of DEFENDANT's policies, procedures, guidelines, or practices regarding health and safety in the workplace in effect from February 1, 2015 to present applicable to individuals employed as Road Drivers and employees holding positions similar to the position(s) held by PLAINTIFF while he was employed by DEFENDANT, including:
 - a. Whether DEFENDANT had such a policy or procedure;
 - b. When said policy or procedure was created and the version in effect from February 1, 2015 to present;
 - c. Who is/was responsible for ensuring the policy was implemented from February 1, 2015 to present;
 - d. How do/did employees learn about DEFENDANT's health and safety policy;
 - e. How do/did supervisors/managers learn about DEFENDANT's health and safety policy; and
 - f. Whether DEFENDANT provided training on its health and safety policy or procedures, and when.

20

21

22.

23

- 19. All aspects of DEFENDANT's policies, procedures, guidelines, or practices regarding medical examination and/or medical certification in effect from February 1, 2015 to present applicable to individuals employed as Road Drivers and employees holding positions similar to the position(s) held by PLAINTIFF while he was employed by DEFENDANT, including:
 - a. Whether DEFENDANT had a policy or procedure for conducting medical examinations and/or medical certification;
 - b. When said policy or procedure was created and the version in effect from February 1, 2015 to present;
 - c. Who is/was responsible for ensuring the policy was implemented from February 1, 2015 to present;
 - d. How do/did employees learn about DEFENDANT's medical examination and/or medical certification policy;
 - e. How do/did supervisors/managers learn about DEFENDANT's medical examination and/or medical certification policy; and
 - f. Whether DEFENDANT provided training on its medical examination and/or medical certification policy or procedures, and when.
- 20. All aspects of DEFENDANT's policies, procedures, guidelines, or practices regarding certification/decertification/not certifying/not re-certifying drivers in effect from February 1, 2015 to present applicable to individuals employed as Road Drivers and employees holding positions similar to the position(s) held by PLAINTIFF while he was employed by DEFENDANT, including:
 - a. Whether DEFENDANT had a policy or procedure for decertification/not certifying/not re-certifying of Road Drivers or other employees with similar job responsibilities;
 - b. When said policy or procedure was created and the version in effect from February 1, 2015 to present;
 - c. Who is/was responsible for ensuring the policy was implemented from February 1, 2015 to present;
 - d. How do/did employees learn about DEFENDANT's certification/decertification/not certifying/not re-certifying policy;
 - e. How do/did supervisors/managers learn about DEFENDANT's certification/decertification/not certifying/not re-certifying policy; and
 - f. Whether DEFENDANT provided training on its certification/decertification/not certifying/not re-certifying policy or procedures, and when.
- 21. All aspects of DEFENDANT's policies, procedures, guidelines, or practices regarding "park"/"parking"/"parked" Road Drivers (as used herein, the terms "park" /"parking"/"parked" refer to the practice of prohibiting a Road Driver or other employee for driving for DEFENDANT and/or relief from driving duty for DEFENDANT) in effect from February 1, 2015 to present applicable to individuals employed as Road Drivers and employees holding positions similar to the position(s) held by PLAINTIFF while he was employed by DEFENDANT, including:

1	 a. Whether DEFENDANT had a policy or procedure for "parking" Road Drivers;
2	b. When said policy or procedure was created and the version in effect from February 1, 2015 to present;
3	c. Who is/was responsible for ensuring the policy was implemented from February 1, 2015 to present;
4	d. How do/did employees learn about DEFENDANT's "parking" policy;e. How do/did supervisors/managers learn about DEFENDANT's "parking"
5	policy; and f. Whether DEFENDANT provided training on its "parking" policy or
6	procedures, and when.
7	22. All aspects of DEFENDANT's policies, procedures, guidelines, or practices regarding the relieving of duty of Road Drivers in effect from February 1, 2015 to present
8	applicable to individuals employed as Road Drivers and employees holding positions similar to the position(s) held by PLAINTIFF while he was employed by DEFENDANT, including:
9	a. Whether DEFENDANT had a policy or procedure for the relieving of duty of Road Drivers;
10	b. When said policy or procedure was created and the version in effect from February 1, 2015 to present;
11	c. Who is/was responsible for ensuring the policy was implemented from February 1, 2015 to present;
12	d. How do/did employees learn about DEFENDANT's relieving of duty policy;
13	 e. How do/did supervisors/managers learn about DEFENDANT's relieving of duty policy;
14	f. Whether DEFENDANT provided training on its relieving of duty policy or procedures, and when;
15	g. Whether DEFENDANT had a policy for relieving of duty of a Road Driver for insufficient medical documentation; and
16	h. Details of the policy of relieving a Road Driver of duty until a statement concerning a specific event is submitted.
17	23. All aspects of DEFENDANT's performance review policies, procedures,
18	guidelines, or practices in effect from February 1, 2015 to present applicable to employees
19	holding positions similar to the position(s) held by PLAINTIFF while he was employed by DEFENDANT, including:
20	a. Whether DEFENDANT had a policy or procedure for conducting performance reviews;
21	b. When said policy or procedure was created and the version in effect from February 1, 2015 to present;
22	c. Who is/was responsible for ensuring the policy was implemented from February 1, 2015 to present;
23	 d. How do/did employees learn about DEFENDANT's performance review policy;

1	e. How do/did supervisors/managers learn about DEFENDANT's
2	performance review policy; and f. Whether DEFENDANT provided training on its performance review policy
	or procedures, and when.
3	24 A11 (CDEFENDANT) 1' '1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1' 1'
4	24. All aspects of DEFENDANT's disciplinary policies, procedures, guidelines, or practices in effect from February 1, 2015 to present applicable to employees holding positions
, T	similar to the position(s) held by PLAINTIFF while he was employed by DEFENDANT,
5	including:
	a. Whether DEFENDANT had a policy or procedure for disciplining
6	employees; b. When said policy or procedure was greated and the version in effect from
7	b. When said policy or procedure was created and the version in effect from February 1, 2015 to present;
′	c. Who is/was responsible for ensuring the policy was implemented from
8	February 1, 2015 to present;
	d. How do/did employees learn about DEFENDANT's disciplinary policy;
9	e. How do/did supervisors/managers learn about DEFENDANT's disciplinary
	policy; and
10	f. Whether DEFENDANT provided training on its disciplinary policy or
11	procedures, and when.
11	25. All aspects of DEFENDANT's termination policies, procedures, guidelines, or
12	practices in effect from February 1, 2015 to present applicable to employees holding positions
	similar to the position(s) held by PLAINTIFF while he was employed by DEFENDANT,
13	including:
	a. Whether DEFENDANT had a policy or procedure for terminating
14	employees;
15	b. When said policy or procedure was created and the version in effect from February 1, 2015 to present;
13	c. Who is/was responsible for ensuring the policy was implemented from
16	February 1, 2015 to present;
	d. How do/did employees learn about DEFENDANT's disciplinary policy;
17	e. How do/did supervisors/managers learn about DEFENDANT's termination
1.0	policy; and
18	f. Whether DEFENDANT provided training on its termination policy or procedures, and when.
19	procedures, and when.
1)	26. All aspects of DEFENDANT's employment regulations, guidelines,
20	handbooks, employment manuals, procedures, or other similar materials in effect from
	February 1, 2015 to present applicable to employees holding positions similar to the position(s)
21	held by PLAINTIFF while he was employed by DEFENDANT, and whether PLAINTIFF was
	provided a copy of said document, and when.
22	
23	
دے	

that a doctor failed to complete the Medical Examiner Determination regarding PLAINTIFF

23

in June 2017.

information, and any actions taken or responses by DEFENDANT as a result of said

employees of DEFENDANT provided to DEFENDANT, including dates DEFENDANT

received such information, the names and contact information of persons from whom

All medical information and/or correspondence by any third parties and/or

NOTICE OF VIDEOTAPED RULE 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF **DEFENDANT FEDEX FREIGHT, INC. - 10** Case No. 2:18-cv-01164 MJP

20

21

22.

23

information.

AKW LAW, P.C. 6100 219th St. SW. Suite 480 Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043 Tel. (206) 259-1259 / Fax (855) 925-9529

1	DEFENDANT received such information, the substance of said information, and any actions					
2	taken or responses by DEFENDANT as a result of said information.					
3	39. Any and all information and/or correspondence provided to DEFENDANT by PLAINTIFF, third parties, and/or others regarding and/or related to PLAINTIFF's					
4	recommended work restrictions, work modifications, monitoring requirements, and/or accommodations, including all dates DEFENDANT received such information, the names and					
5	contact information from whom DEFENDANT received such information, the substance of said information, and any actions taken or responses by DEFENDANT as a result of said					
6	information.					
0	40. Any and all information and/or correspondence provided to DEFENDANT by					
7	PLAINTIFF, third parties, and/or others regarding and/or related to PLAINTIFF's inability or limitations on his ability to work, including all dates DEFENDANT received such information,					
8	the names and contact information from whom DEFENDANT received such information, the substance of said information, and any actions taken or responses by DEFENDANT as a result					
9	of said information.					
10	41. DEFENDANT's policies, procedures, and protocol for the retention and					
11	keeping of employees' personnel and employment files and/or other employment-related records and files in effect from February 1, 2015 to present at the Everett facility.					
12	42. All agreements, correspondence, and/or documents, written or otherwise,					
13	between DEFENDANT and PLAINTIFF regarding: a. PLAINTIFF's duties and responsibilities;					
14	b. PLAINTIFF's rate(s) of pay;c. PLAINTIFF's dates of work;					
	d. PLAINTIFF's position title(s);					
15	e. PLAINTIFF's scope of work;					
1.0	f. PLAINTIFF's hours of work;					
16	g. PLAINTIFF's prerequisites for driving;h. All person(s) PLAINTIFF was to report to;					
17	i. All person(s) who maintain supervisory, direction, or control over					
18	PLAINTIFF and/or his work; and j. DEFENDANT's protocols, guidelines, or policies for PLAINTIFF to					
19	follow regarding performing work for DEFENDANT.					
	43. Any and all investigations where PLAINTIFF was the subject of the					
20	investigation, including:					
	a. The facts of the complaint or communication that was made and the manner					
21	in which it was made;					
22	b. Whether an investigation was conducted, and if so, the nature of the					
22	investigation that was conducted, including who performed the investigation, who was interviewed as a part of the investigation, and what					
23	determinations were made; and when said investigation was conducted; and					

1	c. The results of any investigation.						
2	44. Agents, personnel, staff, contractors, and/or employees at DEFENDANT' Everett facility who have or had whole or partial duties similar to PLAINTIFF for the last five						
3							
4	 						
5	·						
6	1						
7							
8							
9							
10	d. Some or all of PLAINTIFF's claims are barred becau						
11		n;					
12	1 ,	ployees about these					
13							
14		ifference to					
15		1 0 11					
16		nitive damages, it					
17		under the					
18		Eighth Amendment to					
19	the United States Constitution and/or pertinent provis Constitution of the State of Washington; and it violate						
20	substantive due process as provided in the Fifth and F Amendments to the United States Constitution and/o						
21	the State of Washington; and therefore, fails to state a supporting punitive damages;	cause of action					
22	i. PLAINTIFF has failed to participate in the interactive	=					
23	discovery progresses.						

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>					
2	The undersigned certifies under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of					
3	Washington that I am now and at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States, a					
4	resident of the State of Washington, over the age of eighteen years, not a party to or interested					
5	in the above-entitled action, and competent to be a witness herein.					
6	On January 23, 2019, I caused a copy of the foregoing to be served on the parties listed					
7	below in the manner specified below:					
8	Medora A. Marisseau		VIA FACSIMILE			
9	KARR TUTTLE CAMPBELL 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300	\boxtimes	VIA FIRST CLASS U.S. MAIL			
10	Seattle, WA 98104 E-mail: mmarisseau@karrtuttle.com		VIA MESSENGER/HAND DELIVERY			
11 12 13 14 15	E-mail: kmejia@karrtuttle.com Donald H. Snook FEDEX FREIGHT, INC. 1715 Aaron Brenner Drive, Suite 600 Memphis, TN 38120 E-mail: donald.snook@fedex.com E-mail: deborah.hill@fedex.com		VIA E-MAIL/E-FILE Per 11/30/18 Stipulation Regarding Electronic Service			
16	Attorneys for Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc.					
17	DATED this 23 rd day of January, 2019.					
18	/s/ Ada K. Wong					
19	Ada K. Wong					
20						
21						
22						
23						